From: [redacted]
To: Crown Casework
Cc: info@wrongplanwrongsite.org.uk; calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk
Subject: Formal Objection to Planning Application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843 – MOD Bicester (Site A)
Date: 15 September 2026 16:12:20

[redacted] Learn why this is important

Dear Crown Casework Team,

Re: Urgent Crown Development Application – PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843
Proposal: Temporary change of use of MOD Bicester (Site A) for non-detained asylum accommodation.

I am writing to submit my formal objection regarding the Home Office's proposal to house up to 3250 single male asylum seekers at MOD Bicester Site A.

As a local stakeholder, I strongly urge the Secretary of State to refuse planning permission based on the following material planning considerations:

1. Inappropriate Rural Isolation and Lack of Transport Infrastructure:
The application site is situated in a deeply rural location between the small villages of Piddington and Upper Arncott. It completely lacks safe pedestrian footpaths, street lighting, and sustainable public transport links to major towns like Bicester. Forcing a non-detained population of over 3250 people to rely on unsafe, unlit country roads presents severe road safety risks to both the service users and local drivers.

2. Severe Strain on Local Utilities and Drainage:
The surrounding area suffers from documented drainage issues. The massive, rapid influx of a population this size will place a disproportionate strain on local sewage systems, wastewater management, and power infrastructure. The proposal relies heavily on unproven on-site mitigation which poses an unacceptable ecological and flooding risk to nearby watercourses and local residents.

3. Inadequate Access to Essential Services:
Due to the site's isolated nature, there is no viable access to local healthcare, dental services, legal aid networks, or community support hubs—all of which are vital for the humane and efficient management of asylum seekers. The local infrastructure is already operating at capacity and cannot absorb the needs of this facility.

This proposal represents the wrong facility in the entirely wrong location. The severe shortcomings around transport safety, utility infrastructure, and fundamental site suitability mean the development fails to meet standard planning requirements. I request that this application be rejected.

Please take these material planning considerations into account during your determination.

Yours sincerely,

